

Some Remarks Concerning Integrals of Curvature on Curves and Surfaces

S. Semmes*

Abstract

In this paper we discuss some topics that came up in Chapters 2 and 3 of Part III of [8]. These involve relations between derivatives of Cauchy integrals on curves and surfaces and curvatures of the curves and surfaces. In $\mathbf{R}^n$ for $n > 2$, “Cauchy integrals” can be based on generalizations of complex analysis using quaternions or Clifford algebras (as in [3]). Part of the point here is to bring out the basic features and types of computations in a simple way, if not finer aspects which can also be considered.

Let us consider first curves in the plane $\mathbf{R}^2$. We shall identify $\mathbf{R}^2$ with the set $\mathbf{C}$ of complex numbers.

Let Γ be some kind of curve in $\mathbf{C}$, or perhaps union of pieces of curves. For each $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \Gamma$, we have the contour integral

$$(1) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\zeta$$

as from complex analysis. More precisely, “ $d\zeta$ ” is the element of integration such that if γ is an arc in $\mathbf{C}$ from a point a to another point b , then

$$(2) \quad \int_{\gamma} d\zeta = b - a.$$

*The author was partially supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation. A presentation based on this paper was made at the AMS Special Session “Surface Geometry and Shape Perception” (Hoboken, 2001).

This works no matter how γ goes from a to b . This is different from integrating arclength, for which the element of integration is often written $|d\zeta|$. For this we have that

$$(3) \quad \int_{\gamma} |d\zeta| = \text{length}(\gamma),$$

and this very much depends on the way that γ goes from a to b .

If Γ a union of closed curves, then

$$(4) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\zeta = 0.$$

This is a standard formula from complex analysis (an instance of “Cauchy formulae”), and one can look at it in the following manner. As a function of ζ , $1/(z - \zeta)^2$ is the complex derivative in ζ of $1/(z - \zeta)$,

$$(5) \quad \frac{d}{d\zeta} \left(\frac{1}{z - \zeta} \right) = \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2}.$$

If γ is a curve from points a to b again, which does not pass through z , then

$$(6) \quad \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\zeta = \frac{1}{z - b} - \frac{1}{z - a}.$$

In particular, one gets 0 for closed curves (since that corresponds to having $a = b$).

As a variation of these matters, if Γ is a line, then

$$(7) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\zeta = 0$$

again. This can be derived from (6) (and can be looked at in terms of ordinary calculus, without complex analysis). There is enough decay in the integral so that there is no problem with using the whole line.

What would happen with these formulae if we replaced the complex element of integration $d\zeta$ with the arclength element of integration $|d\zeta|$? In general we would not have (4) for unions of closed curves, or (6) for a curve γ from a to b . However, we would still have (7) for a line, because in this case $d\zeta$ would be a constant times $|d\zeta|$.

Let us be a bit more general and consider an element of integration $d\alpha(\zeta)$ which is positive, like the arclength element $|d\zeta|$, but which is allowed to have variable density. Let us look at an integral of the form

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\alpha(\zeta).$$

This integral can be viewed as a kind of measurement of *curvature* of Γ (which also takes into account the variability of the density in $d\alpha(\zeta)$).

If we put absolute values inside the integral, then the result would be roughly $\text{dist}(z, \Gamma)^{-1}$,

$$(9) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|z - \zeta|^2} d\alpha(\zeta) \approx \text{dist}(z, \Gamma)^{-1}$$

under suitable conditions on Γ . For instance, if Γ is a line, then the left side of (9) is equal to a positive constant times the right side of (9).

The curvature of a curve is defined in terms of the derivative of the unit normal vector along the curve, or, what is essentially the same here, the derivative of the unit tangent vector. The unit tangent vector gives exactly what is missing from $|d\zeta|$ to get $d\zeta$, if we write the unit tangent vector as a complex number. (One should also follow the tangent in the orientation of the curve.)

If the curve is a line or a line segment, then the tangent is constant, which one can pull in and out of the integral. In general one can view (8) as a measurement of the variability of the unit tangent vectors, and of the variability of the positive density involved in $d\alpha(\zeta)$.

Let us look at some simple examples. Suppose first that Γ is a straight line segment from a point $a \in \mathbf{C}$ to another point b , $a \neq b$. Then $|d\zeta|$ is a constant multiple of $d\zeta$, and

$$(10) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} |d\zeta| = (\text{Constant}) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{z - b} - \frac{1}{z - a} \right).$$

In this case the ordinary curvature is 0, except that one can say that there are contributions at the endpoints, like Dirac delta functions, which are reflected in right side. If z gets close to Γ , but does not get close to the endpoints a , b of Γ , then the right side stays bounded and behaves nicely. This is “small” in comparison with $\text{dist}(z, \Gamma)^{-1}$. Near a or b , we get something which is indeed like $|z - a|^{-1}$ or $|z - b|^{-1}$.

As another example, suppose that we have a third point $p \in \mathbf{C}$, where p does not lie in the line segment between a and b (and is not equal to a or b). Consider the curve Γ which goes from a to p along the line segment between them, and then goes from p to b along the line segment between them. Again $|d\zeta|$ is a constant multiple of $d\zeta$. Now we have

$$(11) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} |d\zeta| = c_1 \left(\frac{1}{z - p} - \frac{1}{z - a} \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{1}{z - b} - \frac{1}{z - p} \right),$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants which are not equal to each other. This is like the previous case, except that the right side behaves like a constant times $|z - p|^{-1}$ near p (and remains bounded away from a, b, p). This reflects the presence of another Dirac delta function for the curvature, at p . If the curve flattens out, so that the angle between the two segments is close to π , then the coefficient $c_1 - c_2$ of the $(z - p)^{-1}$ term becomes small.

Now suppose that Γ is the unit circle in $\mathbf{C}$, centered around the origin. In this case $|d\zeta|$ is the same as $d\zeta/\zeta$, except for a constant factor, and we consider

$$(12) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} \frac{d\zeta}{\zeta}.$$

If $z = 0$, then one can check that this integral is 0. For $z \neq 0$, let us rewrite the integral as

$$(13) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} \left(\frac{1}{\zeta} - \frac{1}{z} \right) d\zeta + \frac{1}{z} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\zeta.$$

The second integral is 0 for all $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \Gamma$, as in the earlier discussion. The first integral is equal to

$$(14) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} \frac{(z - \zeta)}{z\zeta} d\zeta = \frac{1}{z} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)} \frac{1}{\zeta} d\zeta.$$

On the other hand,

$$(15) \quad \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)} \frac{1}{\zeta} = \frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{1}{z - \zeta} + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right),$$

and so we obtain

$$(16) \quad \frac{1}{z^2} \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{z - \zeta} + \frac{1}{\zeta} \right) d\zeta.$$

For $|z| > 1$ we have that

$$(17) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z - \zeta} d\zeta = 0,$$

and thus we get a constant times $1/z^2$ above. If $|z| < 1$, then

$$(18) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{z - \zeta} d\zeta = - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\zeta} d\zeta,$$

and the earlier expression is equal to 0.

For another example, fix a point $q \in \mathbf{C}$, and suppose that Γ consists of a finite number of rays emanating from q . On each ray, we assume that we

have an element of integration $d\alpha(\zeta)$ which is a positive constant times the arclength element.

If R is one of these rays, then

$$(19) \quad \int_R \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\alpha(\zeta) = (\text{constant}) \frac{1}{z - q}.$$

This constant takes into account both the direction of the ray and the density factor in $d\alpha(\zeta)$ on R .

If we now sum over the rays, we still get

$$(20) \quad \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{(z - \zeta)^2} d\alpha(\zeta) = (\text{constant}) \frac{1}{z - q};$$

however, this constant can be 0. This happens if Γ is a union of lines through q , with constant density on each line, and it also happens more generally, when the directions of the rays satisfy a suitable balancing condition, depending also on the density factors for the individual rays. This can happen with 3 rays, for instance.

When the constant is 0, Γ (with these choices of density factors) has “curvature 0”, even if this is somewhat complicated, because of the singularity at q . This is a special case of the situation treated in [1].

In general, “weak” or integrated curvature is defined using suitable test functions on $\mathbf{R}^2$ with values in $\mathbf{R}^2$ (or on $\mathbf{R}^n$ with values in $\mathbf{R}^n$), as in [1]. For $n = 2$ one can reformulate this (trivially) in terms of complex-valued functions on $\mathbf{C}$, and complex-analyticity gives rise to simpler formulas. This is what happens here.

For more information on these topics, see Chapter 2 of Part III of [8]. In [8] there are further issues which are not needed in various settings.

Now let us look at similar matters in $\mathbf{R}^n$, $n > 2$, and $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surfaces there. Ordinary complex analysis is no longer available, but there are substitutes, in terms of quaternions (in low dimensions) and Clifford algebras. For the sake of definiteness let us focus on the latter.

Let n be a positive integer. The *Clifford algebra* $\mathcal{C}(n)$ has n generators $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ which satisfy the following relations:

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} e_j e_k &= -e_k e_j && \text{when } j \neq k; \\ e_j^2 &= -1 && \text{for all } j. \end{aligned}$$

Here 1 denotes the identity element in the algebra. These are the only relations. More precisely, one can think of $\mathcal{C}(n)$ first as a real vector space of

dimension 2^n , in which one has a basis consisting of all products of e_j 's of the form

$$(22) \quad e_{j_1} e_{j_2} \cdots e_{j_\ell},$$

where $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_\ell$, and ℓ is allowed to range from 0 to n , inclusively. When $\ell = 0$ this is interpreted as giving the identity element 1. If $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{C}(n)$, then β and γ are given by linear combinations of these basis elements, and it is easy to define the product $\beta\gamma$ using the relations above and standard rules (associativity and distributivity).

If $n = 1$, then the result is isomorphic to the complex numbers in a natural way, and if $n = 2$, the result is isomorphic to the quaternions. Note that $\mathcal{C}(n)$ contains $\mathbf{R}$ in a natural way, as multiples of the identity element.

A basic feature of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(n)$ is that if $\beta \in \mathcal{C}(n)$ is in the linear span of $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ (without taking products of the e_j 's), then β can be inverted in the algebra if and only if $\beta \neq 0$. More precisely, if

$$(23) \quad \beta = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j,$$

where each β_j is a real number, then

$$(24) \quad \beta^2 = - \sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_j|^2.$$

If $\beta \neq 0$, then the right side is a nonzero real number, and $-(\sum_{j=1}^n |\beta_j|^2)^{-1}\beta$ is the multiplicative inverse of β .

More generally, if β is in the linear span of 1 and $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$, so that

$$(25) \quad \beta = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j,$$

where $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$, then we set

$$(26) \quad \beta^* = \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_j e_j.$$

This is analogous to complex conjugation of complex numbers, and we have that

$$(27) \quad \beta \beta^* = \beta^* \beta = \sum_{j=0}^n |\beta_j|^2.$$

If $\beta \neq 0$, then $(\sum_{j=0}^n |\beta_j|^2)^{-1} \beta^*$ is the multiplicative inverse of β , just as in the case of complex numbers.

When $n > 2$, nonzero elements of $\mathcal{C}(n)$ may not be invertible. For real and complex numbers and quaternions it is true that nonzero elements are invertible. The preceding observations are substitutes for this which are often sufficient.

Now let us turn to *Clifford analysis*, which is an analogue of complex numbers in higher dimensions using Clifford algebras. (See [3] for more information.)

Suppose that f is a function on $\mathbf{R}^n$, or some subdomain of $\mathbf{R}^n$, which takes values in $\mathcal{C}(n)$. We assume that f is smooth enough for the formulas that follow (with the amount of smoothness perhaps depending on the circumstances). Define a differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ by

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{D}f = \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f.$$

Actually, there are some natural variants of this to also consider. This is the “left” version of the operator; there is also a “right” version, in which the e_j ’s are moved to the right side of the derivatives of f . This makes a difference, because the Clifford algebra is not commutative, but the “right” version enjoys the same kind of properties as the “left” version. (Sometimes one uses the two at the same time, as in certain integral formulas, in which the two operators are acting on separate functions which are then part of the same expression.)

As another alternative, one can use the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}(n-1)$ for Clifford analysis on $\mathbf{R}^n$, with one direction in $\mathbf{R}^n$ associated to the multiplicative identity element 1, and the remaining $n-1$ directions associated to $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}$. There is an operator analogous to $\mathcal{D}$, and properties similar to the ones that we are about to describe (with adjustments analogous to the conjugation operation $\beta \mapsto \beta^*$).

For the sake of definiteness, let us stick to the version that we have. A function f as above is said to be *Clifford analytic* if

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{D}f = 0$$

(on the domain of f).

Clifford analytic functions have a lot of features analogous to those of complex analytic functions, including integral formulas. There is a natural

version of a *Cauchy kernel*, which is given by

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{E}(x - y) = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - y_j) e_j}{|x - y|^n}.$$

This function is Clifford analytic in x and y away from $x = y$, and it has a “fundamental singularity” at $x = y$, just as $1/(z - w)$ has in the complex case.

One can calculate these properties directly, and one can also look at them in the following way. A basic identity involving $\mathcal{D}$ is

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{D}^2 = -\Delta,$$

where Δ denotes the Laplacian, $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial^2 / \partial x_j^2$. The kernel $\mathcal{E}(x)$ is a constant multiple of

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(|x|^{n-2}) & \quad \text{when } n > 2, \\ \mathcal{D}(\log |x|) & \quad \text{when } n = 2. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, the Clifford analyticity of $\mathcal{E}(x)$ for $x \neq 0$ follows from the harmonicity of $|x|^{n-2}$, $\log |x|$ for $x \neq 0$ (when $n > 2$, $n = 2$, respectively).

Analogous to (1), let us consider integrals of the form

$$(33) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \mathcal{E}(x - y) N(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Gamma,$$

where Γ is some kind of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surface in $\mathbf{R}^n$, or union of pieces of surfaces,

$$(34) \quad N(y) = \sum_{j=1}^n N_j(y) e_j$$

is the unit normal to Γ (using some choice of orientation for Γ), turned into an element of $\mathcal{C}(n)$ using the e_j ’s in this way, and dy denotes the usual element of surface integration on Γ . Thus $N(y) dy$ is a Clifford-algebra-valued element of integration on Γ which is analogous to $d\zeta$ for complex contour integrals, as in (1). A version of the Cauchy integral formula implies that

$$(35) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \mathcal{E}(x - y) N(y) dy$$

is locally constant on $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Gamma$ when Γ is a “closed surface” in $\mathbf{R}^n$, i.e., the boundary of some bounded domain (which is reasonably nice). In fact, this

integral is a nonzero constant inside the domain, and it is zero outside the domain. At any rate, the differentiated integral (33) is then 0 for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Gamma$, in analogy with (4).

Now suppose that we have a positive element of integration $d\alpha(y)$ on Γ , which is the usual element of surface integration dy together with a positive density which is allowed to be variable. Consider integrals of the form

$$(36) \quad \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \mathcal{E}(x - y) d\alpha(y), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \Gamma.$$

This again can be viewed in terms of integrations of curvatures of Γ (also incorporating the variability of the density in $d\alpha(y)$). In a “flat” situation, as when Γ is an $(n - 1)$ -dimensional plane, or a piece of one, $N(y)$ is constant, and if $d\alpha(y)$ is replaced with a constant times dy , then we can reduce to (33), where special integral formulas such as Cauchy formulas can be used.

Topics related to this are discussed in Chapter 3 of Part III of [8], although, as before, further issues are involved there which are not needed in various settings. See [3] for more on Clifford analysis, including integral formulas. Related matters of curvature are investigated in [11].

Information about some other connections between Cauchy kernels and geometry can be found in the references.

References

- [1] W. Allard and F. Almgren, *The structure of stationary one dimensional varifolds with positive density*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **34** (1976), 83–97.
- [2] S. Bell, *The Cauchy Transform, Potential Theory, and Conformal Mapping*, CRC Press, 1992.
- [3] F. Brackx, R. Delanghe, and F. Sommen, *Clifford Analysis*, Pitman, 1982.
- [4] J. Burbea, *The Cauchy and Szegő kernels on multiply connected regions*, *Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo* (2) **31** (1982), 105–118.
- [5] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer, *Une généralisation du théorème de Calderón sur l’intégrale de Cauchy*, in *Fourier Analysis*, Proceedings of the sem-

- inar at El Escorial, Spain, June 1979, 87–116, Asociación Matemática Español, 1980.
- [6] G. David, *Courbes corde-arc et espaces de Hardy généralisés*, Annales de l’Institut Fourier (Grenoble) **32** (1982), 227–239.
 - [7] G. David and S. Semmes, *Singular integrals and rectifiable sets in $\mathbf{R}^n$: Au-delà des graphes lipschitziens*, Astérisque **193**, Société Mathématique de France, 1991.
 - [8] G. David and S. Semmes, *Analysis of and on Uniformly Rectifiable Sets*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **38**, 1993, American Mathematical Society.
 - [9] P. Huovinen, *Singular integrals and rectifiability of measures in the plane*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. Diss. **109**, 1997.
 - [10] P. Huovinen, *A nicely behaved singular integral on a purely unrectifiable set*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **129** (2001), 3345–3351.
 - [11] J. Hutchinson, *$C^{1,\alpha}$ multiple function regularity and tangent cone behavior for varifolds with second fundamental form in L^p* , Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **44**, 281–306, American Mathematical Society, 1982.
 - [12] N. Kerzman and E. Stein, *The Cauchy kernel, the Szegő kernel, and the Riemann mapping function*, Mathematische Annalen **236** (1978), 85–93.
 - [13] S. Krantz and H. Parks, *The Geometry of Domains in Space*, Birkhäuser, 1999.
 - [14] P. Mattila, *Principal values of Cauchy integrals, rectifiable measures and sets*, in *Harmonic Analysis (Sendai, 1990)*, ICM-90 Satellite Conference Proceedings, Springer-Verlag, 1991.
 - [15] P. Mattila, *Cauchy singular integrals and rectifiability in measures of the plane*, Advances in Mathematics **115** (1995), 1–34.
 - [16] P. Mattila, *Tangent measures, densities, and singular integrals*, in *Fractal Geometry and Stochastics (Finsterbergen, 1994)*, 43–52, Birkhäuser, 1995.

- [17] P. Mattila, *Geometry of Sets and Measures in Euclidean Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [18] P. Mattila, M. Melnikov, and J. Verdera, *The Cauchy integral, analytic capacity, and uniform rectifiability*, Annals of Mathematics (2) **144** (1996), 127–136.
- [19] P. Mattila and D. Preiss, *Rectifiable measures in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and existence of principal values for singular integrals*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society (2) **52** (1995), 482–496.
- [20] M. Melnikov, *Analytic capacity: A discrete approach and the curvature of measure* (Russian), Mat. Sb. **186** (6) (1995), 57–76; English Translation in Sb. Mat. **186** (6) (1995), 827–846.
- [21] M. Melnikov and J. Verdera, *A geometric proof of the L^2 boundedness of the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz graphs*, International Mathematical Research Notices (1995), 325–331.
- [22] S. Semmes, *The Cauchy integral and related operators on smooth curves*, Ph. D. thesis, Washington University in St. Louis, 1983.
- [23] S. Semmes, *Analysis vs. geometry on a class of rectifiable hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Indiana University Mathematics Journal **39**, 1005–1035.
- [24] S. Semmes, *Chord-arc surfaces with small constant I* , Advances in Mathematics **85** (1991), 198–223.
- [25] J. Verdera, *L^2 boundedness of the Cauchy integral and Menger curvatures*, in *Harmonic Analysis and Boundary Value Problems (Fayetteville, AR, 2000)*, 139–158, Contemporary Mathematics **277**, American Mathematical Society, 2001.